UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

WESTERN DIVISION

In re ACCREDO HEALTH, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION) Civil Action No. 03-2216-BBD
)) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
This Document Relates To:) LEAD PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN LIMINE
ALL ACTIONS.	#23 TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO OR
	TESTIMONY RELATING IN ANY WAY
	ACTION BY THE SECURITIES AND
	EXCHANGE COMMISSION, THE
	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
	SECURITIES' DEALERS, THE
	PHILADELPHIA STOCK EXCHANGE
	AND/OR OTHER REGULATORS

Lead Plaintiffs, Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System and Debra Swiman (together, "Lead Plaintiffs") respectfully move the Court for an order precluding Defendants from referring to, interrogating any witness concerning, commenting on, referencing, or introducing in any way evidence relating in any way to the lack of any enforcement action by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), the National Association of Securities' Dealers (the "NASD"), the Philadelphia Stock Exchange (the "PHLX") and/or other regulators, because statutory law forbids such evidence as a defense to securities fraud. Thus, such evidence is irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402. Likewise, the minimal probative value of such evidence is overwhelmingly outweighed by the risks of unfair prejudice, confusion and its introduction would constitute an unnecessary waste of time, thus is it equally inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 403

The Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (and a similar provision of the Securities Act of 1933) provides that the SEC's declination or failure to act may not be used as a defense by a company to imply that the SEC has approved of its accounting practices. Section 26 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78z provides:

No action or failure to act by the Commission or the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in the administration of this title shall be construed to mean that the particular authority has in any way passed upon the merits of, or given approval to, any security or any transaction or transactions therein, nor shall such action or failure to act with regard to any statement or report filed with or examined by such authority pursuant to this title or rules and regulations thereunder, be deemed a finding by such authority that such statement or report is true and accurate on its face or that it is not false or misleading.¹

SEC decisions not to take action may simply reflect agency decisions about the most efficient allocation of its limited resources rather than approval of company practices. In *Board of Trade*, 883 F.2d 525 (7th Cir. 1989), the Court held:

- 1 -

All emphasis added, unless otherwise noted.

Doing nothing may be the most constructive use of the Commission's resources. Congress gives the SEC a budget, setting a cap on its personnel. With limited numbers of staff-years, the Commission must enforce several complex statutes. To do this intelligently the Commissioners must assign priorities. . . . Agencies may find it worthwhile to give short shrift to a particular claim if the aggrieved party can file its own suit . . , for turning the subject over to private litigation frees up time without necessarily diminishing the enforcement of the statute.

Id. at 531. See also Moog Indus., Inc. v. FTC, 355 U.S. 411, 413 (1958) (agency alone has the power to allocate its resources "in such a way as to execute its policy efficiently and economically"); Berner v. Lazzaro, 730 F.2d 1319, 1322 (9th Cir. 1984), aff'd, Bateman Eichler, Hill, Richards, Inc. v. Berner, 472 U.S. 299 (1985) (SEC can prosecute "only the most flagrant abuses"); Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd., ____ U.S. ____, 127 S.Ct. 2499, 2504 (2007) (private actions are a necessary supplement to SEC enforcement of the securities laws).

Accordingly, SEC inaction against Defendants in this case does not demonstrate any finding or conclusion by the SEC and cannot be used by the Defendants to imply that the SEC "approved" of any of Defendants' actions or statements. A juror who is not trained in the law or experienced in these matters easily could mistake the SEC's inaction as a finding that Defendants did nothing wrong. To protect the trial from this highly prejudicial and wrong impression, the Court should preclude Defendants from so arguing. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 403. In addition, should evidence of any regulatory inaction reach the jury, the Court should instruct the jury that the SEC's inaction must in no way be construed as indicating that Defendants have been exonerated and that SEC inaction is irrelevant to any issue in this case. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402; *see also SEC v. Keating*, No. CV 91-6785 (SVW), 1992 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14630, at *14 (C.D. Cal. July 24, 1992) (imposing Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 sanctions because assertion of affirmative defense of ratification, based on SEC's supposed approval of documents filed with SEC, was "patently frivolous," citing 15 U.S.C. §78z).

The same logic applies to the investigations by other regulatory agencies. In this case, both the Market Regulation Department of the NASD and the Market Surveillance Department of the

PHLX initiated reviews of trading activity in Accredo securities during the Class Period. These

investigations did not concern securities fraud or fraudulent accounting. Moreover, the fact that

neither regulatory agency actively pursued the investigations in irrelevant to the claims and defenses

in this case. Neither regulatory agency investigated the central issue in this case – whether

Defendants violated the federal securities laws – thus the suggestion that these investigations

somehow cleared or exonerated Defendants is irrelevant and therefore inadmissible. See Fed. R.

Evid. 401, 402. Likewise, the minimal probative value of such evidence is overwhelmingly

outweighed by the risks of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues for the jury and its introduction

would constitute an unnecessary waste of time. Thus, evidence that these investigations somehow

cleared or exonerated Defendants is equally inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 403.

Accordingly, Lead Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter an Order instructing

Defendants not to refer to, interrogate any witness concerning, comment on, reference, or introduce

in any way evidence relating in any way to the lack of any enforcement action by the Securities and

Exchange Commission, the National Association of Securities' Dealers, the Philadelphia Stock

Exchange and/or other regulators.

DATED: September 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER **RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP** MARK SOLOMON TOR GRONBORG JONAH H. GOLDSTEIN DAVID W. MITCHELL TRIG R. SMITH

NATHAN W. BEAR

s/ TOR GRONBORG

TOR GRONBORG

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: 619/231-1058

619/231-7423 (fax)

- 3 -

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
BLAIR A. NICHOLAS
TIMOTHY A. DELANGE
BRETT M. MIDDLETON
MATTHEW P. JUBENVILLE
12481 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: 858/793-0070
858/793-0323 (fax)

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

GLASSMAN, EDWARDS, WADE & WYATT, P.C. B.J. WADE, #5182 26 N. Second Street Building Memphis, TN 38103 Telephone: 901/527-4673 901/521-0940 (fax)

Liaison Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 8, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 8, 2008.

s/ TOR GRONBORG

TOR GRONBORG

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

E-mail: torg@csgrr.com

Mailing Information for a Case 2:03-cv-02216-BBD-gbc

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- George E. Barrett gbarrett@barrettjohnston.com
- Nathan W. Bear NBear@csgrr.com,stremblay@csgrr.com
- Saul C. Belz sbelz@glankler.com,apospisil@glankler.com
- Paul Kent Bramlett pknashlaw@aol.com
- Linda F Burnsed lburnsed@cbslawyers.net
- Karen M. Campbell kcampbell@appersoncrump.com
- F. Guthrie Castle, Jr fgc@castle-law.com
- Stanley M. Chernau s.chernau@chernau.com
- Timothy A. DeLange timothyd@blbglaw.com,brettm@blbglaw.com,samj@blbglaw.com,kristinas@blbglaw.com,matthe
- Amy Ferguson Dudek adudek@glankler.com,apospisil@glankler.com
- Jef Feibelman jfeibelman@bpjlaw.com,cbiscoe@bpjlaw.com
- Tor Gronborg torg@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com
- Douglas F. Halijan dhalijan@bpjlaw.com,mmarshall@bpjlaw.com
- Dixie W. Ishee woodcarltonishee@bellsouth.net

• Matthew P. Jubenville matthewj@blbglaw.com

- Emily C. Komlossy ekomlossy@faruqilaw.com
- Quitman Robins Ledyard, II bledyard@borodandkramer.com
- Brett M. Middleton brettm@blbglaw.com
- Timothy L. Miles tmiles@barrettjohnston.com
- David W. Mitchell davidm@csgrr.com
- Blair N. Nicholas blairn@blbglaw.com
- Russell F.A. Riviere russellr@blbglaw.com
- **Kevin Hunter Sharp** ksharp@dsattorneys.com
- Scott N. Sherman scott.sherman@alston.com
- $\hbox{\bf Gary K. Smith} \\ {\it gsmith@appersoncrump.com,clunsford@appersoncrump.com,kcampbell@appersoncrump.com} \\$
- Trig R. Smith trigs@csgrr.com
- Mark D. Trainer mark.trainer@alston.com
- **B. J. Wade** bwade@gewwlaw.com
- Allison Wannamaker wannamakera@thomasonlaw.com
- Kelly C. Wilcove kelly.wilcove@alston.com,valerie.nouman@alston.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Ramzi Abadou

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Guri Ademi

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP 3620 East Layton Ave. Cudahy, WI 53110

Shpetim Ademi

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP 3620 East Layton Ave. Cudahy, WI 53110

Lauren S. Antonino

CHITWOOD & HARLEY 1230 Peachtree St., N.E. 2900 Promenade II Atlanta, GA 30309

Peter Q. Bassett

ALSTON & BIRD 1201 West Peachtree St. Atlanta, GA 30309-3424

Javier Bleichmar

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor
New York, NY 10019

Martin D. Chitwood

CHITWOOD HARLEY & HARNES LLP 1230 Peachtree St., N.E. 2900 Promenade II Atlanta, GA 30309

Patricia A. Connell

ERNST & YOUNG 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036-6530

Gregory M. Egleston

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Nadeem Faruqi

FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP 369 Lexington Avenue 10th Floor New York, NY 10017

, Esq

Mark C. Gardy

ABBEY GARDY, LLP 212 East 39th St. New York, NY 10016

Carol V. Gilden

MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT & RUBENSTEIN, P.C. 191 N. Wacker Dr. Ste. 1800 Chicago, IL 60606-1615

Karen M. Hanson

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN, PLLP 100 Washington Ave., South Ste. 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401

Ronald B. Hauben

ERNST & YOUNG 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036-6530

Marc S. Henzel

LAW OFFICES OF MARC S. HENZEL 273 Montgomery Ave. Ste. 202 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Fred Taylor Isquith

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Ave.
New York, NY 10016

Douglas S. Johnston

BARRETT JOHNSTON & PARSLEY 217 Second Avenue North Nashville, TN 37201-1601

Nancy Kaboolian

ABBEY GARDY, LLP 212 East 39th St. New York, NY 10016

William S. Lerach

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Mel E. Lifshitz

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Richard A. Lockridge

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN, PLLP 100 Washington Ave., South Ste. 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401

, Esq

Douglas M McKeige

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor New York, NY 10019

Eitan Misulovin

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor
New York, NY 10019

Michael E. Moskovitz

MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT & RUBENSTEIN, P.C. 191 N. Wacker Dr. Ste. 1800 Chicago, IL 60606-1615

Gregory M. Nespole

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10016

Darren J Robbins

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 W. Broadway Ste. 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Robert M. Roseman

SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C. 1818 Market St. Ste. 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103

David A. Rosenfeld

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 200 Broadhollow Rd. Ste. 406 Melville, NY 11747

Samuel H. Rudman

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 200 Broadhollow Rd. Ste. 406
Melville, NY 11747

Mark Solomon

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Marc A. Topaz

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087